

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/767,582 | SPADACCINI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ehud Gartenberg | 3746 |

All Participants:

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(2) John Siragusa.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2005

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

7-14

Prior art documents discussed:

All prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)